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ABSTRACT:

Monitoring the spectral response of intensively managed grassland throughout the growing season allows optimizing fertilizer inputs by monitoring plant growth. For example, site-specific fertilizer application as part of precision agriculture (PA) management requires information within short time. But, this requires field-based measurements with hyper- or multispectral sensors, which may not be feasible on a day to day farming practice. Exploiting the information of RGB images from consumer grade cameras mounted on unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) can offer cost-efficient as well as near-real time analysis of grasslands with high temporal and spatial resolution. The potential of RGB imagery-based vegetation indices (VI) from consumer grade cameras mounted on UAVs has been explored recently in several. However, for multitemporal analyses it is desirable to calibrate the digital numbers (DN) of RGB-images to physical units. In this study, we explored the comparability of the RGBVI from a consumer grade camera mounted on a low-cost UAV to well established vegetation indices from hyperspectral field measurements for applications in grassland. The study was conducted in 2014 on the Renge Grassland Experiment (RGE) in Germany. Image DN values were calibrated into reflectance by using the Empirical Line Method (Smith & Milton 1999). Depending on sampling date and VI the correlation between the UAV-based RGBVI and VIs such as the NDVI resulted in varying R2 values from no correlation to up to 0.9. These results indicate, that calibrated RGB-based VIs have the potential to support or substitute hyperspectral field measurements to facilitate management decisions on grasslands.

1. INTRODUCTION

Monitoring the spectral response of intensively managed grassland throughout the growing season allows optimizing fertilizer inputs by monitoring plant growth. For example, site-specific fertilizer application as part of precision agriculture (PA) management requires information within short time (Schellberg et al. 2008). But, this requires field-based measurements with hyper- or multispectral sensors which are relatively expensive, have a limited spatial coverage, require expert-knowledge in operating and are time-consuming when applied on field-scale. Hyperspectral sensors may not be feasible on a day to day farming practice. Exploiting the information of RGB images from consumer grade cameras mounted on unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) can offer cost-efficient as well as near-real time analysis of grasslands with high temporal and spatial resolution (Hunt et al. 2013).

The potential of RGB imagery-based vegetation indices (VI) from consumer grade cameras mounted on UAVs has been explored recently in several studies (Bendig et al. 2015, Hunt et al. 2005; 2011; 2013, Bareth et al. 2015, Rasmussen et al. 2016). These studies indicate a good correlation of RGB-based VIs with established VIs using the VIS-, NIR- or SWIR domains, such as the NDVI. A new, moderately performing RGB-based VI, the RGBVI, was introduced by Bendig et al. (2015) and evaluated by Tilly et al. (2015) and Bareth et al. (2015) against hyperspectral field spectrometer measurements. However, these studies applied no method to calibrate the digital numbers (DN) of the RGB images into physical units of reflectance. However, this is a desirable approach for multitemporal image analysis, such as monitoring grassland throughout the growing season.

In this study, we explored the comparability of RGB-based VIs from a consumer grade camera mounted on a low-cost UAV to well established vegetation indices from hyperspectral field measurements for applications in grassland. The study was conducted in 2014 on the Renge Grassland Experiment (RGE) in Germany.
2. STUDY AREA & METHODS

The Rengen Grassland Experiment (RGE) is located in the Eifel mountain Region and was established 1941. The RGE comprises 55 plots (3 x 5 meter) with ten replicates of five fertilizer treatments that are applied annually (Ca, CaN, CaNP, CaNPKCI, CaNPK2SO4). Five untreated plots serve as control plots (Schellberg et al. 1999). The RGE is a two-cut regime with one harvest at the beginning of July and one in mid-October. The long-term fertilizer application resulted in distinct floristic compositions per plot, varying in their morphological and optical features (Chytry et al. 2009). The RGE is managed by the INRES Group of Bonn University. The hyperspectral field measurements were carried out within the DFG funded project "Detecting the response of plant functional traits to nutrient status in grassland by spectral reflectance measurements"¹, coordinated by the Crop Science Group of Bonn University, Germany.

Throughout the growing season in 2014 continuous data acquisition was conducted including (i) destructive plant sampling, (ii) quantification of standing biomass, (iii) determination of floristic composition and (iv) hyperspectral measurements of canopy reflectance.

This study focuses on vegetation indices (VIs) on three consecutive dates of the first growth in 2014 (April 2nd, April 16th, and May 18th). Reflectance measurements were taken with a portable spectroradiometer (ASD FieldSpec3, Analytical Spectral Devices, Boulder, CO, USA) on 25 plots of the RGE from 1.5 m above canopy. Based on these measurements broad- and narrowband VIs were calculated per plot. Broadband VIs were calculated by simulating RapidEye bands using their spectral response function (Hollberg & Schellberg 2017). For this study the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), the Green Normalized Vegetation Index (GNDVI), the Optimized Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (OSAVI) and the Leaf Chlorophyll Index (LCI) were calculated from broad- and narrow band reflectances, except the LCI was only calculated as broad band index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>( \frac{(R_{380} - R_{670})}{(R_{380} + R_{670})} )</td>
<td>Rouse et al. 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSAVI</td>
<td>( \frac{(1+0.16)\times(R_{380} - R_{670})}{(R_{380} + R_{670} + 0.16)} )</td>
<td>Rondeaux et al. 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNDVI</td>
<td>( \frac{(R_{570} - R_{670})}{(R_{570} - R_{670} + R_{780} + R_{800})} )</td>
<td>Gittleson et al. 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCI</td>
<td>( \frac{(R_{570} - R_{670})}{(R_{570} - R_{670} - R_{800})} )</td>
<td>Datt 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nNDVI</td>
<td>( \frac{(R_{570} - R_{800})}{(R_{570} + R_{800})} )</td>
<td>Rouse et al. 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nOSAVI</td>
<td>( \frac{(1+0.16)^{\times}(R_{570} - R_{670})}{(R_{570} + R_{670} + 0.16)} )</td>
<td>Rondeaux et al. 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nGNDVI</td>
<td>( \frac{(R_{710} - R_{550})}{(R_{710} + R_{550})} )</td>
<td>Gittleson et al. 1996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Vegetation Indices from spectroradiometer measurements analysed in this study. R = reflectance (%), \( R_{x} \) = red, \( R_{g} \) = green, \( R_{RE} \) = Red Edge, \( R_{NIR} \) = near-infrared, \( R = \) reflectance in narrow band region (\( R_{670} = 670 \) nm). Lower case n denotes narrow band index.

Parallel to reflectance measurements UAV-campaigns were flown over the RGE (April 6th, April 16th, and May 18th), with focus on the 25 plots were spectral measurements are available. The UAV, a DJI® Phantom 2 quadcopter, was equipped with a consumer grade Canon Powershot 110 camera.

Central to this study is the evaluation of a recently developed RGB-based VI, the RGBVI as described by Bendig et al. (2015), against VIs from the visible and near-infrared spectrum.

The RGBVI is computed from UAV-based RGB-imagery on a per pixel basis by the following equation (Bendig et al. 2015):

\[
\text{RGBVI} = \frac{(R_{G})^2 - (R_{R} \times R_{G})}{(R_{R})^2 + (R_{R} \times R_{G})}
\]

Where \( R = \) reflectance (%), \( R_{R} = \) red, \( R_{G} = \) green, \( R_{B} = \) blue.

To minimize the effect of changes in illumination on the UAV-based RGBVI, one overview image of the 25 plots

![Figure 1. Overview of the Rengen Grassland Experiment (Inset photo: G. Bareth, 2014).](image1)

![Figure 2. DJI® Phantom 2 equipped with Canon Powershot 110 (Photo G. Bareth, 2014).](image2)

¹ https://www.lap.uni-bonn.de/forschung/forschungsprojekte/Spectral%20Response%20of%20Traits
was acquired at about 50 m flying altitude per sampling date. 14 ground control points (GCPs) were evenly distributed on the experimental field and measured with a highly accurate RTK-GPS (Topcon HiperPro 5).

For multitemporal remote sensing based analyses of vegetation properties it is desirable to analyze data in comparable units of reflectance. The empirical line method (ELM) as described by Smith & Milton (1999) is a simple and direct approach to calibrate DNs of imaging sensors to units of surface reflectance. The relationship between at-sensor radiance and at-surface reflectance is estimated by measuring at least one spectrally stable reference target. By using two contrasting reference targets the effects of atmospheric radiance can be removed. The reference targets should be near lambertian and spectrally well characterized. Several studies showed a more robust estimation of correction equations, when more than two reference targets were deployed (Smith & Milton 1999, Karpouzli & Malthus 2003). The derived prediction equations, which account for changes in illumination and atmospheric effects, are applied band wise to obtain images in units of reflectance (Smith & Milton, 1999).

In this study the Empirical Line Method was applied to UAV-based imagery from a consumer-grade camera to derive vegetation indices based on the red, green and blue bands. Therefore four reference targets in different shades of grey were placed on the ground near the 25 plots on each date of the UAV-campaigns (see Figure 3).

The reflectance of the targets was measured with an ASD FieldSpec3 under cloudless, constant solar illumination. The targets were visible in each of the above mentioned overview images acquired with the Canon Powershot 110. The DNs of the single bands were converted to a scale of 0-1. To derive prediction equations per band the DNs-readings per reference target were averaged and compared to the respecting spectroradiometer readings for the red, green and blue band respectively. The white reference target was left out of the prediction equation, since the DN-readings were highly saturated and resulted in an overestimation of values.

The resulting prediction equations were applied per band using the tool Raster Calculator in ArcMap (v.10.3). The RGBVI was calculated per pixel from the calibrated bands for each of the three sampling dates.

Comparison of UAV-based RGBVI and the VI's based on field measurements listed in Table 1 was conducted at plot level. To obtain mean values per plot of the RGBVI the tool Zonal Statistics as Table in ArcMap was applied. An inside buffer was applied to the plot-polygons to minimize border effects. The black polygons in Figure 4 represent the area for calculating mean values per plot. Coefficients of Determination (R²) were computed based on linear regression models for each VI-pairing per sampling date in MS Excel.

3. RESULTS

Fig. XX displays the spatial variation of the RGBVI on the 25 sampling plots for the first (April 6th) and third (May 18th) sampling date based on the calibrated overview images.

In Fig. 4 (A) the RGBVI values for 6th April (A) and 18th May (B). Capital letters correspond to fertilizer treatments (Ca (A), CaN (B), CaNP (C), CaNPKCl (D), CaNPKSO4 (E)). Black Polygons represent areas to compute mean values per plot.

In Fig. 4 (A) treatments of lower fertilizer input are clearly distinguishable from treatments with higher fertilizer input. Lower values of the RGBVI correspond to lower fertilizer inputs (plots A and B are Ca and CaN-treatments respectively). On the third sampling date (Fig. 4 B) the RGBVI values express a lower range of values, but differences between lower and higher fertilizer inputs are still visible. Some plots show randomly distributed spots of low RGBVI values on the first sampling date (Fig 4 A). These spots reflect disturbances by rodents and are in more severe cases also visible after canopy closure on the third sampling date (e.g. lower right corner of Fig. 4 B).

Figure 3. Reference targets (left) & ground control point (right) at the Rengen Grassland Experiment (Photo G. Bareth 2014).

Figure 4. UAV-based RGBVI values for 6th April (A) and 18th May (B).
Comparing the UAV-based calibrated RGBVI with spectroradiometer-derived calibrated VIs indicates a pattern. Table 2 summarizes the $R^2$ values and standard errors (SE) for the three sampling dates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>02.04.2014</th>
<th>16.04.2014</th>
<th>16.05.2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNDVI</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSAVI</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCI</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nNDVI</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nGNDVI</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nOSAVI</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. $R^2$ values and standard error (SE) for regression analysis of RGBVI vs. VIs listed in Table 1 (p < 0.01)

For the first sampling date all VIs show a strong correlation with the RGBVI derived from calibrated UAV-imagery with $R^2$ values of 0.9 or higher, except for the LCI ($R^2$ 0.7). On the second sampling date the $R^2$ values range from 0.5 (LCI) to 0.85 (narrowband OSAVI). However, the $R^2$ values on the third sampling date in mid-May show a very weak ($R^2$<0.3) or in the case of the GNDVI (broad- and narrowband) and LCI no correlation.

Figures 5-7 show the regression lines for the three sampling dates exemplary for the broad band NDVI vs. UAV-imagery derived RGBVI.

In all three sampling dates the RGBVI shows a higher range of values while the range of NDVI values decrease with advancing growth and canopy closure. Hence on the third sampling date in mid-May the NDVI values are at about 0.9 with only little variation, while the RGBVI values range from 0.46 to 0.83.

4. DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION

The primary aim of this study is to evaluate a recently developed VI based on high resolution UAV RGB-imagery, the RGBVI, against widely applied VIs in the visible to near-infrared spectrum. In order to have comparable units for multitemporal analysis of changes in reflectance of the different grassland communities, the UAV-based images were calibrated to units of reflectance by using the Empirical Line Method (ELM).

Using three reference targets is acknowledged to minimize errors in computing the prediction equation (Smith & Milton 1999), although a thorough investigation of errors was not applied in this study. One drawback might be, that the radiometric response curve of the camera is not known and should be measured with a monochromatic light source as recommended by Hunt et al. (2005).

The approach of using an overview image of the area of interest instead of image-mosaics to compute the RGBVI as discussed by Bendig et al. (2015) and Rasmussen et al. (2016) seemed to work well and partly eliminated the problem of varying incident light.

The correlation of the UAV-based RGBVI and the spectroradiometer based VIs shows a strong relationship in the first sampling date but decreased to the third sampling date when canopy closure is reached in mid-May. These findings were also observed by Bareth et al. (2015) for grassland and Bareth et al. (2016) for wheat, but with uncalibrated RGBVI-values. Hunt et al. (2013) found similar results for wheat for the Triangulated Greenness Index (TGI), an RGB-based Index with a comparable layout to the RGBVI.

Common VIs such as the NDVI tend to saturate with canopy closure and higher biomass levels and increasing Leaf Area Index (LAI) when reflectance in the red part of the spectrum decreases (Hunt et al. 2005, Gitelson et al. 2002). The RGBVI seems to be more sensitive to subtle changes in greenness, hence it displays a wider range of
values also in later growing stages. This can be explained by the fact that reflectance in the visible spectrum is mainly dominated by pigment content and composition (Gitelson et al. 2002). The RGBVI emphasizes the reflectance differences caused by chlorophyll-absorption (Bendig et al. 2015), which may present information on spatial variability of Nitrogen supply (Hunt et al. 2013).

However, further analysis should be directed towards the performance and validation of the RGBVI on conventionally managed grassland fields for an entire growing season.

Finally, the presented results indicate, that calibrated RGB-based VIs have the potential to support or substitute hyperspectral field measurements to facilitate management decisions on grasslands.
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